

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 JANE DOE,

No. C 07-05596 SI

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

**ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S RECENTLY
FILED MOTIONS [Docket Nos. 259, 260]**

11 CITY OF SAN MATEO, et al.,


12 Defendants.
13 _____/

14 On March 19, 2009, the Court issued an order granting in part and denying in part defendants'
15 motion to dismiss plaintiff's second amended complaint. [Docket No. 215] Plaintiff subsequently filed
16 seven letter briefs seeking reconsideration of various rulings in the Court's March 19 order. The Court
17 addressed plaintiff's letter briefs in orders dated April 16 and April 29.

18 Plaintiff has now filed two more letter briefs. This must stop. Plaintiff may not file any more
19 motions regarding reconsideration of issues in the March 19 order, and the motions reflected in Docket
20 Nos. 259 and 260 are DENIED
21 .

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23
24 Dated: May 15, 2009



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge